

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,480	09/29/2003	Masato Yoshino	P24099	7984	
7055	7590 04/01/2004		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			GRAHAM, MATTHEW C		
RESTON, V	ID CLARKE PLACE A 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 04/01/200	DATE MAILED: 04/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
é Office Action Summan	10/671,480	YOSHINO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Matthew C Graham	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/868,633.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	` ' ' '	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·				

Application/Control Number: 10/671,480 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for

all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Yagi et al. in view of Griffin.

Yagi et al. Show a braking system having brake pedal 1, master cylinder 2,

pressure sensor 16, accumulator 7c, proportional controller 51, auxiliary controller (at

50) and wheel brake 3. The claimed invention differs from Yagi et al. only in the

inclusion of two check valves. Griffin show check valves 10, 11 in line between the

master cylinder and the brakes. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art to have included a check valve circuit, such as shown by Griffin, in line with the

master cylinder so as to raise the brake pressure above the boiling point for high-

temperature brakes as taught by Griffin, see column 2, lines 25-35.

Re- claim 2, note spring 57 in Yagi et al.

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Oyama, Ganzel and Kambe et al. show brake systems.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Matthew

C Graham at telephone number 703-308-2570.

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER **GROUP 310**

2.C. Al 3/20121